

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,585	09/17/2003	Hiroshi Masuya	81751.0066	3682
26021	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.			IM, JUNGHWA M	
500 S. GRAND AVENUE		•,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1900			ARTONI	TATER NUMBER
LOS ANGEI	LES, CA 90071-2611		2811	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/664,585	MASUYA, HIROSHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Junghwa M. Im	2811			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	nuary 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1.5-7.9-11 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.5-7.9-11 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,585

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster (US 6818973) in view of Yang (US 6291881).

Regarding claim 1, Fig. 6 of Foster shows a semiconductor device comprising:

a die pad [68];

a semiconductor chip [86] having an electrode [90] and bonded to the die pad;

an inner lead [84] having a sloping section sloping upward and outward from the semiconductor chip when a surface of the die wherein a surface of the die pad which semiconductor chip is bonded faces upward;

a wire [92] electrically connecting the inner lead to semiconductor chip;

a sealing section [94] sealing the inner lead, the semiconductor chip, and the wire;

and

an outer lead [72a] extending outward from the sealing section; and wherein a portion of the inner lead is higher than the semiconductor chip.

Fig. 6 of Foster shows substantially the entire claimed structure except "the wire is bonded to the sloping section of the inner lead." Fig. 3 of Yang shows a semiconductor package wherein a wire [310] is bonded to the sloping section of the inner lead

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Yang into the device of Foster in order to have to the wire bonded to the sloping section of the inner lead for a compact package.

Regarding claim 6, Fig. 6 of Foster shows the inner lead further has a portion extending in a horizontal direction and connected to the outer lead.

Regarding claim 7, Fig. 3 of Yang shows a bonding position between the wire and the inner lead is lower than the position of the electrode [306].

Regarding claim 9, Fig. 6 of Foster shows a surface of the die pad opposite to the semiconductor chip is exposed from the sealing section.

Regarding claim 10, Fig. 6 of Foster shows a circuit board on which the semiconductor device is mounted (col. 13, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 11, Foster discloses an electronic instrument comprising the semiconductor device (col. 1, lines 43-46).

Claims 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster (US 6818973) in view of Mills (US5389739).

Regarding claim 21, Fig. 6 of Foster shows a semiconductor device comprising:

an inner lead [84] having a first sloping section sloping upward and outward from the semiconductor chip, wherein a surface of the die pad which the semiconductor is bonded faces upward;

a die pad [68];

a semiconductor chip [86] having an electrode [90] and bonded to the die pad;

Art Unit: 2811

a wire [92] electrically connecting the inner lead to the electrode;

a sealing section [94] sealing the inner lead, the semiconductor chip, and the wire;

and

an outer lead [72a] extending outward from the sealing section, and

wherein a portion of the inner lead is higher than the semiconductor chip

Fig. 6 of Foster shows substantially the entire claimed structure except "the inner lead

further has a second sloping section sloping downward and outward from a higher end of the

sloping section." Fig. 6 of Mills shows a semiconductor package wherein the inner lead further

has a second sloping section sloping downward and outward from a higher end of the sloping

section.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

was made to utilize the teachings of Mills into the device of Foster in order to have the inner lead

further having a second sloping section sloping downward and outward from a higher end of the

sloping section to add the strength on the leadframe.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster and Yang

as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mills.

Regarding claim 5, the combined teachings of Foster and Yang show most aspect of the

instant invention except "the inner lead further has a second sloping section sloping downward

and outward from a higher end of the sloping section." Fig. 6 of Mills shows a semiconductor

package wherein the inner lead further has a second sloping section sloping downward and

outward from a higher end of the sloping section.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Mills into the device of Foster and Yang in order to have the inner lead further having a second sloping section sloping downward and outward from a higher end of the sloping section to add the strength on the leadframe.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,585 Page 6

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (571) 272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmi

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800